DOI: https://doi.org/10.67897/njma.2022.ebv3gty7



Nigerian Journal of Mathematics and Applications
Volume **32**, (2022), 68 – 79. *Printed by Unilorin press*
©*Nig. J. Math. Appl.* *http : //www.njmaman.com*

Some Properties of a Certain Class of Analytic Functions Defined by a Convolution Operator

Aminat O. Ajiboye* and K. O. Babalola

Abstract

In this work, we study some properties of subclass $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}^{\alpha}(\beta)$ of the class of analytic functions defined by a convolution operator. In fact, this class generalizes the class of Yamaguchi functions. Thereafter, some geometric properties such as inclusion, Fekete-Szegö functional and upper bounds for some Hankel determinants are presented. Indeed, results from some of our corollaries and remarks show that when some involving parameters are varied, our results reduce to some existing ones.

1. Introduction

Let $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ be a unit disk and let $\mathcal{A}$ be the class of analytic functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j, \quad f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0, \quad z \in \Delta. \tag{1}$$

Also let $\mathcal{S}$, a subset of $\mathcal{A}$, be the class of univalent functions analytic in Δ. Let

$$\phi(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} A_j z^j, \quad \psi(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} B_j z^j \in \mathcal{A},$$

Received: 02/02/2022, Accepted: 19/03/2022, Revised: 05/04/2022. * Corresponding author.
2015 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30D05 & 42A85.
Keywords and phrases. Analytic function, Carathéodory function, Babalola convolution operator, Fekete-Szegö functional and Hankel determinants
Department of Mathematics, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria
E-mails of the corresponding author: amajiboye99@gmail.com
ORCID of the corresponding author: 0000-0002-6570-6691

then the convolution (or Hadamard product) of functions $\phi(z)$ and $\psi(z)$ is define by

$$(\phi * \psi)(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} A_j B_j z^j, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

Pommerenke [13] defined the *qth*-Hankel determinants for $f \in \mathcal{S}$ as

$$\mathcal{H}_q(j) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & a_{j+1} & \cdots & a_{j+q-1} \\ a_{j+1} & a_{j+2} & \cdots & a_{j+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ a_{j+q-1} & a_{j+q} & \cdots & a_{j+2(q-1)} \end{vmatrix}$$

where $j \geqslant 1$, $q \geqslant 1$ and $a_1 = 1$ for functions in $\mathcal{S}$. Now for $q = 2$ and $j = 1$,

$$|\mathcal{H}_2(1)| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & a_2 \\ a_2 & a_3 \end{vmatrix} = |a_3 - a_2^2|, \tag{2}$$

for $q = 2$ and $j = 2$,

$$|\mathcal{H}_2(2)| = \begin{vmatrix} a_2 & a_3 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{vmatrix} = |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \tag{3}$$

and for $q = 3$ and $j = 1$,

$$|\mathcal{H}_3(1)| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\ a_3 & a_4 & a_5 \end{vmatrix}$$

which implies that

$$|\mathcal{H}_3(1)| \leqslant |a_3||a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| + |a_4||a_2 a_3 - a_4| + |a_5||a_3 - a_2^2|. \tag{4}$$

Many properties of these determinants have been studied by many researchers for specific values of parameters j and q. In particular see [4, 10] for more details. Related to the coefficient estimates in (2) is the problem of estimating the upper bound of the functional

$$\mathcal{F}(\delta, f) := |a_3 - \delta a_2^2| \tag{5}$$

defined by Fekete and Szegö [9] where δ may be a real or complex value. The determination of sharp upper bounds for the non-linear functional $\mathcal{F}(\delta, f)$ for any subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ is what is usually termed "Fekete-Szegö problem". A remarkable relationship exists between the functionals (2) and (5) since $\mathcal{F}(1, f) = |\mathcal{H}_2(1)|$. See [1, 7, 10] for more details.

In [2, 3], Babalola defined a convolution operator $\mathscr{L}_n^{\alpha} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by

$$\mathscr{L}_n^{\alpha} f(z) = (\tau_{\alpha} * \tau_{\alpha,n}^{(-1)} * f)(z) \tag{6}$$

where $\tau_{\alpha,n}(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\alpha-(n-1)}}$ and $\tau_{\alpha,n}^{(-1)}$ is such that

$$(\tau_{\alpha,n} * \tau_{\alpha,n}^{(-1)})(z) = \frac{z}{1-z} = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} z^j$$

for fixed real number $\alpha \geqslant n+1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Simple calculation shows that (6) is equivalent to

$$\mathscr{L}_n^{\alpha} f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(\alpha+j-1)!}{\alpha!} \frac{(\alpha-n)!}{(\alpha+j-n-1)!} \right\} a_j z^j, \quad z \in \Delta. \tag{7}$$

We note from [2, 3] that

$$\mathscr{L}_0^{\alpha} f(z) = \mathscr{L}_0^0 f(z) = f(z)$$

$$\mathscr{L}_1^1 f(z) = z f'(z) \tag{8}$$

$$\mathscr{L}_n^n f(z) = \mathcal{D}^n f(z) \tag{9}$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{n+1}^{\alpha} f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(\alpha+j-1)!}{\alpha!} \frac{(\alpha-n-1)!}{(\alpha+j-n-2)!} \right\} a_j z^j \tag{10}$$

$$(\alpha-n)\mathscr{L}_{n+1}^{\alpha} f(z) = (\alpha-(n+1))\mathscr{L}_n^{\alpha} f(z) + z(\mathscr{L}_n^{\alpha} f(z))' \tag{11}$$

and

$$(\alpha-n)(\mathscr{L}_{n+1}^{\alpha} f(z))' = (\alpha-n)(\mathscr{L}_n^{\alpha} f(z))' + z(\mathscr{L}_n^{\alpha} f(z))''. \tag{12}$$

where (9) is the well-known Ruscheweyh operator introduced in [14]. Clearly, (8) $\Longrightarrow$ (9).

Now we define the class $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}^{\alpha}(\beta)$ as follows.

Definition 1.1. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}^{\alpha}(\beta)$ if

$$\mathcal{R}e \frac{\mathscr{L}_{n+1}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z} > \beta, \quad z \in \Delta \tag{13}$$

for fixed number $\alpha \geqslant (n+1)$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $0 \leqslant \beta < 1$.

It is interesting to note that (13) is the product combination of geometric expressions of functions in classes $\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha}(\beta)$ and $\mathcal{S}_n^{\alpha}$ respectively studied in [2] and [3].

The following classes are equivalent to class $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}^{\alpha}(\beta)$.

(1) $\mathcal{B}_1^1(0) = \mathcal{T}$ studied in [12].
(2) $\mathcal{B}_0^{\alpha}(0) = \mathcal{Y}$ studied in [17].
(3) $\mathcal{B}_0^{\alpha}(\beta) = \mathcal{Y}(\beta)$ studied in [16].
(4) $\mathcal{B}_1^{\alpha}(\beta) = \mathcal{T}(\beta)$ in [16].

In this present work, some of the investigated geometric properties of functions in $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}^{\alpha}(\beta)$ are the inclusion, the Fekete-Szegö functional and the upper bounds of some Hankel determinants.

2. Lemmas

The following lemmas shall be used in proving the theorems that follows:
Firstly, let the class denoted by $\mathcal{P}$ consists of analytic functions of the form:

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j z^j, \quad p(0) = 1, \ \mathcal{R}e\, p(z) > 0 \text{ and } z \in \Delta. \tag{14}$$

$p(z)$ is known as a function with positive real part in Δ.

Lemma 2.1. ([15]). Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Then $|p_j| \leqslant 2, \ j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2.2. ([8]). Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Then

$$\left| p_2 - \nu \frac{p_1^2}{2} \right| \leqslant 2 \max\{1, |1 - \nu|\}, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Lemma 2.3. ([5]). Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and suppose

$$\mathcal{R}e \left(1 + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right) > \frac{3\beta - 1}{2\beta},$$

then

$$\mathcal{R}e(p(z)) > 2^{1-\frac{1}{\beta}}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leqslant \beta < 1, \ z \in \Delta.$$

The constant $2^{1-\frac{1}{\beta}}$ is the best possible.

Lemma 2.4. ([6]). Let $u = u_1 + u_2 i$, $v = v_1 + v_2 i$ and $\psi(u, v)$ be a complex-valued function satisfying

(a) $\psi(u, v)$ is continuous in a domain Ω of $\mathbb{C}^2$,
(b) $(1, 0) \in \Omega$ and $\mathcal{R}e[\psi(1, 0)] > 0$,
(c) $\mathcal{R}e\, \psi(\xi + (1 - \xi)u_2 i, v_1) \leqslant \xi$ when $(\xi + (1 - \xi)u_2 i, v_1) \in \Omega$ and $2v_1 \leqslant -(1 - \xi)(1 + u_2^2)$ for real number $0 \leqslant \xi < 1$.

If $p \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $(p(z), zp'(z)) \in \Omega$ and $\mathcal{R}e[\psi(p(z), zp'(z))] > \xi$ for $z \in \Delta$. Then $\mathcal{R}e[p(z)] > \xi$ in $z \in \Delta$.

Lemma 2.5. ([11]). Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Then

$$p_2 = \frac{1}{2} p_1^2 + \frac{x}{2}(4 - p_1^2)$$

and

$$p_3 = \frac{1}{4} p_1^3 + \frac{1}{2} p_1 (4 - p_1^2) x - \frac{1}{4} p_1 (4 - p_1^2) x^2 + \frac{1}{2} (4 - p_1^2)(1 - |x|^2) z$$

for some x, z such that $|x| \leqslant 1$, $|z| \leqslant 1$.

Lemma 2.6. ([2]). Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n+1}^{\alpha}(\beta)$, then

$$a_j = (1-\beta)J_j p_{j-1} \quad and \quad |a_j| \leqslant 2(1-\beta)J_j$$

where

$$J_j = \frac{\alpha!(\alpha+j-(n+2))!}{(\alpha-(n+1))!(\alpha+j-1)!}. \tag{15}$$

3. Main Results

Our results are as follows.

Theorem 3.1. $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}^{\alpha}(\beta) \subset \mathcal{B}_{n}^{\alpha}(\beta)$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy (13) so that for $p \in \mathcal{P}$, define the equation

$$\frac{z(\mathscr{L}_n^{\alpha} f(z))'}{\mathscr{L}_n^{\alpha} f(z)} = 1 + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}. \tag{16}$$

Now using (12) in (16) gives

$$\frac{(\sigma-n)\mathscr{L}_{n+1}^{\alpha} f(z)}{\mathscr{L}_n^{\alpha} f(z)} - \frac{(\sigma-(n+1))\mathscr{L}_n^{\alpha} f(z)}{\mathscr{L}_n^{\alpha} f(z)} = 1 + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \tag{17}$$

$$\frac{(\sigma-n)\mathscr{L}_{n+1}^{\alpha} f(z)}{\mathscr{L}_n^{\alpha} f(z)} = (\sigma-n) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \tag{18}$$

so that by divide through by $(\sigma-n)$ gives

$$\frac{\mathscr{L}_{n+1}^{\alpha} f(z)}{\mathscr{L}_n^{\alpha} f(z)} = 1 + \frac{zp'(z)}{(\sigma-n)p(z)}.$$

But (13) can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{R}e\left(p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{(\sigma-n)}\right) > \beta$$

so that

$$\mathcal{R}e\left(p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{(\sigma-n)}\right) - \beta > 0.$$

Now define the function

$$\psi(u,v) = u + \frac{v}{(\sigma-n)} - \beta$$

on a domain $\Omega = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ of $\mathbb{C}^2$.

Clearly $\psi(u,v)$ satisfies the condition (a) of Lemma 2.4. More so, $(1,0) \in \Omega$ implies $\psi(1,0) = 1 + 0 - \beta$ and $\mathcal{R}e\,\psi(1,0) = 1-\beta > 0$, $0 \leqslant \beta < 1$. Thus, with $\xi = 0$ in Lemma 2.4,

$$\psi(u_{2i}, v_1) = u_{2i} + \frac{v_1}{(\sigma-n)} - \beta$$

and $\mathcal{R}e\,\psi(u_{2i}, v_1) = \frac{v_1}{(\sigma-n)} - \beta < 0$ whenever $v_1 \leqslant \frac{-(1+u_2^2)}{2}$.

Therefore, ψ satisfies all the conditions of Lemma 2.4 so,

$$\mathcal{R}e\frac{\mathscr{L}_n^\alpha f(z)}{z} > 0 \implies f \in B_n^\sigma(\beta)$$

thus the proof is complete. □

Theorem 3.2. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the condition

$$\mathcal{R}e\frac{z(\mathscr{L}_{n+1}^\alpha f(z))'}{\mathscr{L}_{n+1}^\alpha f(z)} > \frac{3\beta-1}{2\beta},$$

then

$$\mathcal{R}e\frac{\mathscr{L}_{n+1}^\alpha f(z)}{z} > 2^{1-\frac{1}{\beta}}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leqslant \beta < 1,\ z \in \Delta.$$

Proof. For $z \in \Delta$, define the function

$$p(z) = \frac{\mathscr{L}_{n+1}^\alpha f(z)}{z} \tag{19}$$

and by logarithmic differentiation,

$$\frac{p'(z)}{p(z)} = \frac{(\mathscr{L}_{n+1}^\alpha f(z))'}{\mathscr{L}_{n+1}^\alpha f(z)} - \frac{1}{z}. \tag{20}$$

Using (12) in (20) gives

$$\frac{p'(z)}{p(z)} = \frac{(\mathscr{L}_n^\alpha f(z))'}{\mathscr{L}_{n+1}^\alpha f(z)} + \frac{z(\mathscr{L}_n^\alpha f(z))''}{(\sigma-n)\mathscr{L}_{n+1}^\alpha f(z)} - \frac{1}{z} \tag{21}$$

so that

$$\mathcal{R}e\left(1+\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}\right) = \mathcal{R}e\left(\frac{z(\mathscr{L}_n^\alpha f(z))'}{\mathscr{L}_{n+1}^\alpha f(z)} + \frac{z^2(\mathscr{L}_n^\alpha f(z))''}{(\sigma-n)\mathscr{L}_{n+1}^\alpha f(z)}\right) > \frac{3\beta-1}{2\beta}$$

and using (12) implies

$$\mathcal{R}e\left(\frac{z(\mathscr{L}_{n+1}^\alpha f(z))'}{\mathscr{L}_{n+1}^\alpha f(z)}\right) > \frac{3\beta-1}{2\beta} \tag{4.10}$$

which by Lemma 2.3 implies $\mathcal{R}e\, p(z) > 2^{1-\frac{1}{\beta}}$, $\frac{1}{2} \leq \beta < 1$ as required. □

Corollary 3.3. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the condition of Theorem 3.2, then $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}_{n+1}^\alpha(2^{1-\frac{1}{\beta}})$.

Corollary 3.4. Let $n = 0$ in Theorem 3.2 and suppose

$$\mathcal{R}e\left(1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > \frac{3\beta-1}{2\beta},$$

then

$$\mathcal{R}ef'(z) > 2^{1-\frac{1}{\beta}}.$$

Corollary 3.5. Let $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ in Corollary 3.4 and suppose

$$\mathcal{R}e\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > \frac{1}{2},$$

then

$$Ref'(z) > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Theorem 3.6. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}^{\alpha}_{n+1}(\beta)$. Then for $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\left|a_3 - \delta a_2^2\right| \leq 2J_3(1-\beta)\max\left\{1, \left|1 - \frac{2\delta(1-\beta)J_2^2}{J_3}\right|\right\}$$

where $0 \leqslant \beta < 1$ and J_j is defined by (15).

Proof. Using Lemma 2.6 and for $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left|a_3 - \delta a_2^2\right| &= \left|(1-\beta)J_3 p_2 - \delta(1-\beta)^2 J_2^2 p_1^2\right| \\ &= (1-\beta)J_3\left|p_2 - \eta\frac{p_1^2}{2}\right| \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where

$$\eta = \frac{2\delta(1-\beta)J_2^2}{J_3}.$$

Using Lemma 2.4 implies

$$\left|p_2 - \eta\frac{p_1^2}{2}\right| \leq 2\max\left\{1, \left|1 - \frac{2\delta(1-\beta)J_2^2}{J_3}\right|\right\} \tag{23}$$

and putting (23) into (22) completes the proof. □

Corollary 3.7. Let $\delta = 1$. Then $|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq 2J_3(1-\beta)$.

Theorem 3.8. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}^{\alpha}_{n+1}(\beta)$. Then

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{9(1-\beta)^2 J_2 J_4}{2} + 4(1-\beta)^2 J_3^2$$

where $0 \leqslant \beta < 1$ and J_j is defined by (15).

Proof. Using Lemma 2.6 in (3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} a_2a_4 - a_3^2 &= (1-\beta)J_2p_1 \times (1-\beta)J_4p_3 - (1-\beta)^2 J_3^2 p_2^2 \\ &= (1-\beta)^2 J_2J_4[p_1p_3 - \lambda p_2^2] \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where $\lambda = \frac{J_3^2}{J_2 J_4}$. Now using Lemma 2.5 leads to

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| = \frac{(1-\beta)^2 J_2 J_4}{4}\Big|p_1^4 + 2(4-p_1^2)p_1^2 x - (4-p_1^2)p_1^2 x^2 + 2(4-p_1^2)(1-|x|^2)p_1 z - \lambda p_1^4 - \lambda 2(4-p_1^2)p_1^2 x - \lambda(4-p_1^2)^2 x^2\Big|$$

Now for $|p_1| \leqslant 2$, letting $p_1 = p$, assume without restriction that $p \in [0,2]$ and applying triangle inequality with $\mu = |x|$ gives

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| = \frac{(1-\beta)^2 J_2 J_4}{4}\Big\{p^4 + 2(4-p^2)p^2\mu + (4-p^2)p^2\mu^2 + 2(4-p^2)(1-\mu^2)p + \lambda p^4 + \lambda 2(4-p^2)p^2\mu + \lambda(4-p^2)^2\mu^2\Big\}.$$

Factoring out μ gives

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{(1-\beta)^2 J_2 J_4}{4}\Big\{(\lambda+1)p^4 + [2(4-p^2)(\lambda+1)p^2]\mu + (4-p^2)[p^2 + \lambda(4-p^2)]\mu^2 + 2(4-p^2)p - 2(4-p^2)p\mu^2\Big\} = F(\mu, p). \tag{25}$$

Now from (25) we have

$$\frac{\partial F(\mu,p)}{\partial \mu} = \frac{(1-\beta)^2 J_2 J_4}{4}\Big\{2(4-p^2)(\lambda+1)p^2 + 2(4-p^2)[p^2 + \lambda(4-p^2)]\mu - 4(4-p^2)p\mu\Big\}$$

Observe that $\frac{\partial F(\mu,p)}{\partial \mu} > 0$ in the interval $\mu \in [0,1]$. This implies that $\frac{\partial F(\mu,p)}{\partial \mu}$ is an increasing function of μ on the closed interval $[0,1]$, thus from (25) the maximum point is at $\mu = 1$, hence

$$F(1,p) \leq \frac{(1-\beta)^2 J_2 J_4}{4} 2\{-p^4 + 6p^2 + 8\lambda\} = G(p). \tag{26}$$

Now,

$$G'(p) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2 J_2 J_4}{2}\{-4p^3 + 12p\} \tag{27}$$

so that at the critical points, $G'(p) = 0$ implies

$$\frac{(1-\beta)^2 J_2 J_4}{2}\{-4p^3 + 12p\} = 0.$$

Solving for p implies that $p_0 = 0$ or $p_1 = \sqrt{3}$ and from (27),

$$G''(p) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2 J_2 J_4}{2}\{-12p^2 + 12\}$$
$$G''(p_0) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2 J_2 J_4}{2}\{12\} > 0 \text{ (a minimum point)}$$
$$G''(p_1) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2 J_2 J_4}{2}\{-36 + 12\} < 0 \text{ (a maximum point).}$$

From (26), $G(p)$ attains maximum at

$$G(p_1) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2 J_2 J_4}{2}\{-(\sqrt{3})^4 + 6(\sqrt{3})^2 + 8\lambda\}$$

hence using $\lambda = \frac{J_3^2}{J_2 J_4}$ and simplifying completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.9. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n+1}^{\alpha}(\beta)$. Then

$$|a_2 a_3 - a_4| \leqslant \frac{2(1-\beta)[2(1-\beta)J_2 J_3 + 3J_4]}{3}\sqrt{\frac{2[2(1-\beta)J_2 J_3 + 3J_4]}{3J_4}}$$

where $0 \leqslant \beta < 1$ and J_j is defined by (15).

Proof. Using Lemma 2.6 in (3) leads to

$$a_2 a_3 - a_4 = (1-\beta)^2 J_2 J_3 p_1 p_2 - (1-\beta) J_4 p_3.$$

Now using Lemma 2.5 we have

$$a_2 a_3 - a_4 = \frac{(1-\beta)^2 J_2 J_3 p_1[p_1^2 + (4-p_1^2)x]}{2} - \frac{AJ_4[p_1^3 + 2(4-p_1^2)p_1 x - (4-p_1^2)p_1 x^2 + 2(4-p_1^2)(1-|x|^2)z]}{4}$$

where $A = (1-\beta)$ and it simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} 4(a_2 a_3 - a_4) &= 2(1-\beta)^2 J_2 J_3 p_1^3 - (1-\beta) J_4 p_1^3 \\ &\quad + 2(1-\beta)^2 (4-p_1^2) J_2 J_3 p_1 x - 2(1-\beta)(4-p_1^2) J_4 p_1 x \\ &\quad + (1-\beta)(4-p_1^2) J_4 p_1 x^2 - 2(1-\beta)(4-p_1^2)(1-|x|^2) J_4 z. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, $|p_1| \leqslant 2$, then letting $p_1 = p$, assume without restriction that $p \in [0, 2]$ and applying triangle inequality with $\eta = |x|$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4|a_2a_3 - a_4| & \\ \leqslant & \{2(1-\beta)^2 J_2 J_3 p^3 + (1-\beta) J_4 p^3 + 2(1-\beta)(4-p^2) J_4\} \\ & + \left\{2(1-\beta)^2(4-p^2) J_2 J_3 p + 2(1-\beta)(4-p^2) J_4 p\right\} \eta \\ & + \left\{(1-\beta)(4-p^2) J_4 p - 2(1-\beta)(4-p^2) J_4\right\} \eta^2 \\ = & F(\eta, p). \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Now from (28) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F(\eta, p)}{\partial \eta} = & \left\{2(1-\beta)^2(4-p^2) J_2 J_3 p + 2(1-\beta)(4-p^2) J_4 p\right\} \\ & + \left\{2(1-\beta)(4-p^2) J_4 p - 2(1-\beta)(4-p^2) J_4\right\} \eta \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Observe that $\frac{\partial F(\eta,p)}{\partial \eta} > 0$ in the interval $\eta \in [0, 1]$. This implies that $\frac{\partial F(\eta,p)}{\partial \eta}$ is an increasing function of η on the closed interval $[0, 1]$, thus from (28) the maximum point is at $\eta = 1$, hence

$$F(1, p) \leq -2(1-\beta) J_4 p^3 + 4(1-\beta)[2(1-\beta) J_2 J_3 + 3J_4] p = G(p). \tag{30}$$

Now,

$$G'(p) = -6(1-\beta) J_4 p^2 + 4(1-\beta)[2(1-\beta) J_2 J_3 + 3J_4] \tag{31}$$

Note that at the critical points, $G'(p) = 0$ which implies that

$$-6(1-\beta) J_4 p^2 + 4(1-\beta)[2(1-\beta) J_2 J_3 + 3J_4] = 0$$

so that $p_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2[2(1-\beta) J_2 J_3 + 3J_4]}{3J_4}}$ and from (31),

$$G''(p) = -12(1-\beta) J_4 p$$

$$G''(p_1) = -12(1-\beta) J_4 \left(\sqrt{\frac{2[2(1-\beta) J_2 J_3 + 3J_4]}{3J_4}}\right) < 0$$

Now $G(p)$ in (30) attains maximum at

$$\begin{aligned} G(p_1) \leq & \left\{\frac{-4(1-\beta)[2(1-\beta) J_2 J_3 + 3J_4]}{3}\right. \\ & \left. + 4(1-\beta)[2(1-\beta) J_2 J_3 + 3J_4]\right\} \sqrt{\frac{2[2(1-\beta) J_2 J_3 + 3J_4]}{3J_4}} \end{aligned}$$

and simple simplification completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.10. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n+1}^{\alpha}(\beta)$. Then

$$|\mathcal{H}_3(1)| \leqslant 9(1-\beta)^3 J_2 J_3 J_4 + 8(1-\beta)^3 J_3^3 + 4(1-\beta)^2 J_3 J_5 + \frac{4(1-\beta)^2 J_4[2(1-\beta)J_2 J_3 + 3J_4]}{3} \sqrt{\frac{2[2(1-\beta)J_2 J_3 + 3J_4]}{3J_4}}.$$

where $0 \leqslant \beta < 1$ and J_j is defined by (15).

Proof. Using Lemma 2.6, Theorems 3.8, 3.9 and Corollary 3.7 in (4) leads to our assertion. □

Conclusions: A class of generalized analytic functions defined by the well-known Babalola convolution operator which was earlier studied in [2] was further investigated in this paper. Some results obtained were its inclusion condition, the upper estimate of the Fekete-Szegö functional for complex parameter and some estimates for some Hankel determinants. Varying some parameters in the class made it to reduce to some known classes earlier studied by some authors. Finally, some relevant corollaries were presented and a few remarks discussed.

Acknowledgement. The authors sincerely appreciate the referees' critical contributions which enriched this work.

Competing interests: The manuscript was read and approved by all the authors. They therefore declare that there is no conflicts of interest.

Funding: The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

[1] Ajiboye A. O. & Babalola K. O. (2020). Linear Sum of Analytic Functions Defined by a Convolution Operator. *Journal of Nigerian Mathematical Society.* **39**, (2), 173-182.
[2] Babalola K. O. (2008). New Subclasses of Analytic and Univalent Functions Involving Certain Convolution Operator. *Mathematica, Tome.* **50** (73), 3-12.
[3] Babalola K. O. (2009). On some Starlike Mapping Involving Certain Convolution Operator. *Mathematica, Tome.* **51** (74), 111-118.
[4] Babalola K. O. (2010). On $H_3(1)$ Hankel Determinants for some Classes of Univalent Functions. *Journal of Inequalities, Theory and Applications.* **6**, 1-7.
[5] Babalola K. O. (2013). On λ-pseudo-starlike Functions. *Journal of Classical Analysis.* **3** (2), 137-147.
[6] Babalola K. O. & Opoola T. O. (2006). Iterated Integral Transforms of Carathéodory Functions and their Applications to Analytic and Univalent Functions. *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics.* **37** (4), 355-366.
[7] Babalola K. O., Ajiboye A. O. & Ejieji C. N. (2012). Early Coefficients of Close-to-star Functions of Type α. *Journal of Nigerian Mathematical Society.* **31**, 185-189.
[8] Babalola K. O. & Opoola T. O. (2008). On the Coefficient of a Certain Class of Analytic Functions. *Advances in Inequality and Series.* **1**, 1-13.

[9] Fekete M. & Szegö G. (1933). Eine Bemerkung über ungerade Schlichte Funktionen. *London Journal of Mathematical Society.* **1**, 85-89.
[10] Lasode A. O. & Opoola T. O. (2021). Fekete-Szegö Estimates and Second Hankel Determinant for a Generalized Subfamily of Analytic Functions Defined by q-Differential Operator. *Gulf Journal of Mathematics.* **11** (2), 36-43.
[11] Libera J. & Zlotkiewicz E. J. (1982). Coefficient Bounds for the Inverse of a Function with Derivative in $\mathcal{P}$. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society.* **87**, 251-257.
[12] MacGregor T. H. (1962). Function whose Derivative has Positive Real Part. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society.* **104**, 532-537.
[13] Pommerenke C. (1966). On the Coefficients and Hankel Determinants of Univalent Functions. *Journal of London Mathematical Society.* **41** (2), 111-122.
[14] Ruscheweyh S. (1975). New Criteria for Univalent Functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society.* **49**, 109-115.
[15] Thomas D. K., Tuneski N. & Vasudevarao A. (2018). *Univalent Functions: A Primer.* Walter de Gruyter, Inc., Berlin.
[16] Tuan P. D. & Anh V. V. (1978). Radii of Starlikeness and Convexity for Certain Classes of Analytic Functions. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications.* **64** (1), 146-158.
[17] Yamaguchi K. (1966). On Functions Satisfying $\mathcal{R}e(f(z)/z) > 0$. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society.* **17**, 588-591.