



## Polynomial Bounds for Bi-Univalent Functions Associated with Sigmoid Function and $Q$ - Derivative Over a Chebyshev Polynomials

I. T. AWOLERE<sup>1</sup> AND A. M. GBOLAGADE<sup>2\*</sup>

### ABSTRACT

This paper introduces a new subclass of the function class  $\Sigma$  of bi-univalent analytic functions defined in the open unit disk, associated with a certain operator and the Sigmoid function via Chebyshev polynomials. Bounds for the initial coefficients are obtained for the defined class, along with results for the Fekete–Szegő functional. Furthermore, by varying the parameters involved, several results are derived which extend existing findings in the literature.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $A$  denotes the class of functions of the form:

$$(1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

that are analytic in the unit disk  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  and normalized with  $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$  in  $U$ . Also let  $S \in A$  be the class of analytic univalent in the unit disk  $U$ .

A function  $f \in A$  is bi-univalent in  $U$  if  $f^{-1}$  exist and it is univalent in  $U$ . The

---

Received: 30/08/2024, Accepted: 05/11/2024, Revised: 29/12/2024. \* Corresponding author.  
2015 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 30C45 & 30C50.

*Keywords and phrases*. Chebyshev polynomial; Sigmoid Function; univalent functions; polynomial bounds; Fekete-Szegő;  $q$ -operator.

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematical Sciences, Olusegun Agagu University of Science and Technology, Okitipupa, Ondo State, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics and Computing Science Education, Emmanuel Alayande University of Education, Oyo, P. M. B. 1010, Oyo, Nigeria

E-mail of the corresponding author: gbolagadeam@eaueoyo.edu.ng

ORCID of the corresponding author: 00009-0008-5256-8083

concept of bi-univalent was introduced in [20] and the first coefficient bounds was estimated. Other researchers, the likes of [19], [32], [14], [23], [24], [31] further investigation on bi-univalence and they obtained very useful results, (see also [5], [6], [7], [8], [16], [25] & [33]) and the literature therein. furthermore, we note that for  $f \in A$  there exist  $f^{-1}$  (inverse) satisfying

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z, \quad (z \in A)$$

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w, \quad \left( |w| < r_0(f), \quad r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4} \right),$$

where

$$(2) \quad g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4)w^4.$$

Here we denote by  $\sum$  the class of bi-univalent function.

The study of Chebyshev polynomial in the space of univalent function theory has gathered momentum in the recent time due to its both experimental and practical application. This is evident in the literature [1], [2], [11], [16] & [30] and the the literature therein. The classical Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kinds were first studied by Chebyshev. The first kind of Chebyshev polynomials is defined as follows

$$T_0(t) = 1, \quad T_1(t) = t, \quad T_{n+1}(t) = 2tT_n(t) - T_{n-1}(t)$$

From the above we obtain the following

$$T_2(t) = 2t^2 - 1, \quad T_3(t) = 4t^3 - 3t, \quad T_4(t) = 8t^4 - 8t^2 + 1.$$

The generating function of Chebyshev polynomials of first kind  $T_n(t)$  is given as

$$F(z, t) = \frac{1 - tz}{1 - 2tz + z^2} = \sum_n^{\infty} T_n(t)z^n, \quad t \in [-1, 1].$$

The Chebyshev polynomials of second kind is  $U_n(t)$  and is given by

$$H(z, t) = \frac{1}{1 - 2tz + z^2} = \sum_n^{\infty} U_n(t)z^n, \quad t \in [-1, 1], \quad z \in A$$

where the first few coefficient of Chebyshev polynomials of second kind are given by as follows

$$U_0(t) = 1, \quad U_1(t) = 2t, \quad U_{n+1}(t) = 2tU_n(t) - U_{n-1}(t).$$

$$(3) \quad U_2(t) = 4t^2 - 1, \quad U_3(t) = 8t^3 - 4t, \quad U_4(t) = 16t^4 - 12t^2 + 1, \dots$$

here show the connection between first and second Chebyshev polynomials.

## 2. PRELIMINARY AND DEFINITION

Recently, precisely in 2013, [15] investigated Sigmoid function in the space of univalent function and they obtained many interesting results. Since then many researchers have extensively studied activation function (see [27], [18] & [23]) and the literature therein. Let

$$y(s) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-s}}$$

be the Sigmoid function and the modified function be defined as

$$\gamma(s) = \frac{2}{1 + e^{-s}}$$

(see for detail [15]).

Here we refer to a notation of  $q$ -operators, in essence  $q$ -difference operator and  $q$ -integral operator that play vital role in the theory of hypergeometric series, quantum physics and in the operator theory. The application of  $q$ -calculus was introduced in [17] (see also [28] & [25]) and the literature therein and for detail about  $q$ -derivative operator see ([14], [17], [22], [28], [29], [30]).

For  $q \in (0, 1)$  the Jackson's  $q$ -derivative of a function  $f \in A$  is given as

$$D_q f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1-q)z}, & z \neq 0 \\ f'(0), & z = 0 \end{cases}$$

and  $D_q^2 f(z) = D_q(D_q f(z))$  [9].

From (4), we observe that

$$D_q f(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q a_n z^{n-1}$$

where

$$[n]_q = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q}$$

which is sometimes referred to basic number  $n$ , if  $q \rightarrow 1^{-1}$ ,  $[n]_q \rightarrow n$

We find out that the following features hold

$$D_q f(z) + g(z) = (D_q f)(z) + (D_q g)(z)$$

$$D_q(f(z)q(z)) = g(q^{-1})(D_q f)(z) + f(qz)(D_q g)(z)$$

$$= g(qz)(D_q f)(z) + f(q^{-1}z)(D_q g)(z)$$

From (1), we have the following equation

$$(D_q f)(z) = (D_q^2 f)(q^{-1}z)$$

From (2) and (4) we observed that

$$(D_q g)(w) = \frac{g(qw) - g(q^{-1}w)}{(q - q^{-1})w}$$

$$(4) \quad = 1 - [2]_q a_2 w + [3]_q (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^2 - [4]_q (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) w^3 + \dots$$

Motivated by the works of [1], [2], [11] & [14] and the present authors [5] we define the following

**Definition 2.1.** A function  $f \in \Sigma$  given by (1) is said to be in the class  $H_\Sigma^q(\phi(z, t), \gamma(s))$  if the following subordinate conditions holds:

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} (1 - \gamma(s))(D_q f(z)) + \gamma(s)[1 + z(D_q f'(z))] &\prec H(z, t) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - 2tz + z^2} \quad \left( \frac{1}{2} < t < 1, \quad z \in U \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} (1 - \gamma(s))(D_q g(w)) + \gamma(s)[1 + z(D_q g'(w))] &\prec H(w, t) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - 2tw + w^2} \quad \left( \frac{1}{2} < t < 1, \quad w \in U \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma(s) = \frac{2}{1+e^{-s}}$ , is modified sigmoid function and  $s \geq 0$  ( $s$  is real) and  $g = f^{-1}$ . The class  $H_\Sigma^q(s, t)$  is defined as follows:

**Definition 2.2.** A function  $f \in \Sigma$  given by (1) is said to be in the class  $H_\Sigma^q(\phi(z, t), \gamma(s))$  if the following subordinate conditions holds:

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} (1 - \gamma(s))f'(z) + \gamma(s)[1 + zf''(z)] &\prec H(z, t) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - 2tz + z^2} \quad \left( \frac{1}{2} < t < 1, \quad z \in U \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} (1 - \gamma(s))g'(w) + \gamma(s)[1 + zf''(w)] &\prec H(w, t) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - 2tw + w^2} \quad \left( \frac{1}{2} < t < 1, \quad w \in U \right), \end{aligned}$$

for  $g = f^{-1}$ .

**Definition 2.3** ([2] & [11]). If  $w(z) = b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \dots$ ,  $b_1 \neq 0$  is analytic and satisfy  $|w(z)| < 1$  on the unit disk  $E$ , then for each  $0 < r < 1$ ,  $|w'(z)| < 1$  and  $|w(re^{i\theta})| < 1$  unless  $w(z) = e^{i\theta} z$  for some real number  $\theta$ .

### 2.1. Coefficients Bounds for the Class $H_{\Sigma}^q(\phi(z, t), \gamma(s))$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $f \in H_{\Sigma}^q(\phi(z, t), \gamma(s))$ . Then*

$$(9) \quad |a_2| \leq \frac{2t\sqrt{2t}}{\sqrt{|4[(1 + \gamma(s))[3]_q - [2]_q^2] + [2]_q^2|}}$$

$$(10) \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{4t^2}{[2]_q^2} + \frac{2t}{[1 + \gamma(s)][3]_q}$$

$$(11) \quad |a_4| \leq \frac{10t^2}{[1 + \gamma(s)][2]_q[3]_q} + \frac{8t^3 + 8t^2 - 2t - 1}{[1 + 2\gamma(s)][4]_q}$$

*Proof.* Let  $H_{\Sigma}^q(\phi(z, t), \gamma(s))$ . Then from (5) and (6)

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} (1 - \gamma(s))(D_q f(z)) + \gamma(s)[1 + (D_q f'(z))] \\ = 1 + U_1(t)u(z) + U_2(t)u^2(z) + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} (1 - \gamma(s))(D_q g(w)) + \gamma(s)[1 + (D_q g'(w))] \\ = 1 + U_1(t)v(w) + U_2(t)w^2(z) + \dots. \end{aligned}$$

Define the functions  $u(z)$  and  $v(w)$  by

$$(14) \quad u(z) = c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$$

$$(15) \quad v(w) = d_1 w + d_2 w^2 + \dots$$

which are analytic in  $D$  with  $u(0) = 0 = v(0)$  and  $|u(z)| < 1$  and  $|v(w)| < 1$  for all  $z \in E$ . It is well known that

$$|u(z)| = |c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots| < 1,$$

$$|v(w)| = |d_1 w + d_2 w^2 + \dots| < 1$$

and then

$$|c_i| \leq 1,$$

$$|d_i| \leq 1.$$

It is evident from (12), (13), (14) and (15) we note that

$$(1 - \gamma(s))(D_q f(z)) + \gamma(s)[1 + (D_q f'(z))] = 1 + U_1(t)c_1 z + (c_2 U_1(t) + c_1^2 U_2(t))z^2 +$$

$$(16) \quad (c_3 U_1(t) + 2c_1 c_2 U_2(t) + c_1^3 U_3(t))z^3 +$$

and

$$(1 - \gamma(s))(D_q g(w)) + \gamma(s)[1 + (D_q g'(w))] = 1 + U_1(t)d_1 w + (d_2 U_1(t) + d_1^2 U_2(t))w^2 +$$

$$(17) \quad (d_3U_1(t) + 2d_1d_2U_2(t) + d_1^3U_3(t))w^3 +$$

We note from (16) and (17) that

$$(18) \quad [2]_q a_2 = c_1 U_1(t)$$

$$(19) \quad [1 + \gamma(s)][3]_q a_3 = c_2 U_1(t) + c_1^2 U_2(t)$$

$$(20) \quad [1 + 2\gamma(s)][4]_q a_4 = c_3 U_1(t) + 2c_1 c_2 U_2(t) + c_1^3 U_3(t)$$

$$(21) \quad -[2]_q a_2 = d_1 U_1(t)$$

$$(22) \quad [1 + \gamma(s)][2a_2^2 - a_3][3]_q a_3 = d_2 U_1(t) + d_1^2 U_2(t)$$

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} & -[1 + 2\gamma(s)][5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4][4]_q a_4 \\ & = d_3 U_1(t) + 2d_1 d_2 U_2(t) + d_1^3 U_3(t). \end{aligned}$$

From (18) and (21), we have

$$(24) \quad c_1 = -d_1 U$$

$$(25) \quad a_2 = \frac{c_1 U_1(t)}{[2]_q} = -\frac{c_1 U_1(t)}{[2]_q}$$

and

$$(26) \quad 2[2]_q^2 a_2^2 = U_1(t)[c_1^2 + d_1^2]$$

Adding (19) and (22) to get

$$(27) \quad 2[1 + \gamma(s)][3]_q a_2^2 = [c_2 + d_2]U_1(t) + [c_1^2 + d_1^2]U_2(t)$$

Using (26) and (27), we have

$$(28) \quad \left[ 2(1 + \gamma(s))[3]_q - \frac{2U_2(t)}{U_1^2(t)}[2]_q^2 \right] a_2^2 = [c_2 + d_2]U_1(t)$$

It is fairly well known from [8] that  $|w(z)| < 1$  and  $|v(z)| < 1$  then

$$(29) \quad |c_i| \leq 1, \quad |d_i| \leq 1 \text{ for } i \in N$$

Using (28) and (29), we have from (28) that

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2t\sqrt{2t}}{\sqrt{|4[(1 + \gamma(s))[3]_q - [2]_q^2] + [2]_q^2|}}.$$

Next, taking away (22) from (19) yields

$$(30) \quad 2[1 + \gamma(s)][3]_q a_3 = 2[1 + \gamma(s)][3]_q a_2^2 + [c_2 + d_2]U_1(t)$$

We obtain from (30)

$$(31) \quad a_3 = a_2^2 + \frac{[c_2 - d_2]U_1(t)}{2[1 + \gamma(s)][3]_q}$$

In view of (25) and (31)

$$(32) \quad a_3 = \frac{[c_1^2 + d_1^2]U_1^2(t)}{2[2]_q^2} + \frac{[c_2 - d_2]U_1(t)}{2[1 + \gamma(s)][3]_q}$$

By considering (3) and (29), we obtain from (32) the desired inequality

$$(33) \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{4t^2}{[2]_q^2} + \frac{2t}{[1 + \gamma(s)][3]_q}.$$

From (20) and (23) we get

$$(34) \quad a_4 = \frac{5}{2}a_2a_3 - \frac{5}{2}a_2^3 + \frac{[c_3 - d_3]U_1(t)}{2[1 + 2\gamma(s)][4]_q} + \frac{[2c_1c_2 - 2d_1d_2]U_1(t)}{2[1 + 2\gamma(s)][4]_q} + \frac{[c_1^3 - d_1^3]U_3(t)}{2[1 + 2\gamma(s)][4]_q}$$

From (25), (32) and (34) we get

$$(35) \quad a_4 = \frac{5c_1[c_1^2 + d_1^2]U_1^3(t)}{4[2]_q^3} + \frac{5c_1[c_2 - d_2]U_1^2(t)}{4[1 + \gamma(s)][2]_q[3]_q} - \frac{5c_1^3U_1^3(t)}{2[2]_q^3} + \frac{[c_3 - d_3]U_1(t)}{2[1 + 2\gamma(s)][4]_q} \\ + \frac{[2c_1c_2 - 2d_1d_2]U_1(t)}{2[1 + 2\gamma(s)][4]_q} + \frac{[c_1^3 - d_1^3]U_3(t)}{2[1 + 2\gamma(s)][4]_q}$$

Thus by Lemma 1, (3) and (29)

$$(36) \quad |a_4| \leq \frac{10t^2}{[1 + \gamma(s)][2]_q[3]_q} + \frac{8t^3 + 8t^2 - 2t - 1}{[1 + 2\gamma(s)][4]_q}.$$

□

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $f \in H_{\Sigma}^q(\phi(z, t), \gamma(0))$ . Then*

$$(37) \quad |a_2| \leq \frac{2t\sqrt{2t}}{\sqrt{|4[2][3]_q - [2]_q^2| + [2]_q^2|}}$$

$$(38) \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{4t^2}{[2]_q^2} + \frac{t}{[3]_q}$$

$$(39) \quad |a_4| \leq \frac{5t^2}{[2]_q[3]_q} + \frac{8t^3 + 8t^2 - 2t - 1}{3[4]_q}$$

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $f \in H_{\Sigma}^q(\phi(z, t), \gamma(1))$ . Then*

$$(40) \quad |a_2| \leq \frac{2t\sqrt{2t}}{\sqrt{|[9.848[3]_q - 4[2]_q^2] + [2]_q^2|}}$$

$$(41) \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{4t^2}{[2]_q^2} + \frac{0.8123t}{[3]_q}$$

$$(42) \quad |a_4| \leq \frac{4.0617t^2}{[2]_q[3]_q} + \frac{0.2548(8t^3 + 8t^2 - 2t - 1)}{[4]_q}$$

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $f \in H_{\Sigma}(\phi(z, t), \gamma(0))$ . Then*

$$(43) \quad |a_2| \leq \frac{2t\sqrt{2t}}{\sqrt{|2t^2 + 1|}}$$

$$(44) \quad |a_3| \leq t^2 + \frac{t}{3}$$

$$(45) \quad |a_4| \leq \frac{5}{6}t^2 + \frac{1}{12}(8t^8t^2 - 2t - 1)$$

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let  $f \in H_{\Sigma}(\phi(z, t), \gamma(1))$ . Then*

$$(46) \quad |a_2| \leq \frac{2t\sqrt{2t}}{\sqrt{|13.544t^2 + 4|}}$$

$$(47) \quad |a_3| \leq t^2 + 0.2707t$$

$$(48) \quad |a_4| \leq 0.677t^2 + 0.0637[8t^8t^2 - 2t - 1]$$

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $f \in H_{\Sigma}(\phi(z, 1), \gamma(0))$ . Then*

$$(49) \quad |a_2| \leq \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}$$

$$(50) \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{3}{2}$$

$$(51) \quad |a_4| \leq \frac{23}{12}$$

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let  $f \in H_{\Sigma}(\phi(z, 1), \gamma(1))$ . Then*

$$(52) \quad |a_2| \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{17.544}}$$

$$(53) \quad |a_3| \leq 1.2707$$

$$(54) \quad |a_4| \leq 1.509$$

**2.2. Fekete-Szegö Inequalities for the Class  $H_{\Sigma}^q(\phi(z, t), \gamma(s))$ .**

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let  $f \in H_{\Sigma}^q(\phi(z, t), \gamma(s))$  and  $\phi \in R$ . Then*

(55)

$$|a_3 - \phi a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{4t}{[1+\gamma(s)][3]_q}, & |\phi - 1| \leq \beta \\ \frac{16|\phi-1|t^3}{|4t^2[(1+\gamma(s))[3]_q - [2]_q^2] + [2]_q^2|}, & |\phi - 1| \geq \beta \end{cases}$$

where

$$(56) \quad \beta = \frac{|4t^2[(1+\gamma(s))[3]_q - [2]_q^2] + [2]_q^2|}{4(1+\gamma(s))[3]_q t^2}$$

*Proof.* From (25) and (32) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 - \phi a_2^2 &= \frac{(1-\phi)U_1^3(t)(c_2 + d_2)}{2[(1+\gamma(s))[3]_q U_1^2(t) - [2]_q^2 U_2(t)]} + \frac{U_1(t)(c_2 - d_2)}{(1+\gamma(s))[3]_q} \\ &= U_1(t) \left[ \left( h(\mu) + \frac{1}{2(1+\gamma(s))[3]_q} \right) c_2 + \left( h(\mu) - \frac{1}{2(1+\gamma(s))[3]_q} \right) d_2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h(\Phi) = \frac{(1-\Phi)U_1^2(t)}{2[(1+\gamma(s))[3]_q U_1^2(t) - [2]_q^2 U_2(t)]}$$

Then in view of (3), we easily have

$$|a_3 - \phi a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{4t}{[1+\gamma(s)][3]_q}, & |\phi| \leq \frac{1}{[1+\gamma(s)][3]_q} \\ 8|h(t)|t, & |\phi - 1| \geq \frac{4t}{[1+\gamma(s)][3]_q} \end{cases}$$

□

**Conclusion.** The study discuss a subclass of bi-univalent analytic functions associated with the  $q$ -operator and the Sigmoid function via Chebyshev polynomials. Some estimates for the initial coefficients and the Fekete–Szegö functional were derived for the class  $\Sigma$ . Furthermore, by varying the parameters involved, several results were obtained, thereby enriching the existing literature.

**Acknowledgement:** The authors are grateful to Olusegun Agagu University of Science and Technology, Okitipupa, Ondo State and Emmanuel Alayande University of Education, Oyo, Oyo State, Nigeria for the supports they received during the compilation of this work.

**Competing interests:** The manuscript was read and approved by all the authors. They therefore declare that there is no conflicts of interest.

**Funding:** The Authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## REFERENCES

- [1] ALTINKAYA S. & YALCIN S. (2016). Second Hankel determinant for a general subclasses of Bi-univalent function. *TWNS Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*. **7** (1), 98-104.
- [2] ALTINKAYA S. & YALCIN S. (2016). On the Chebyshev polynomial bounds for class of univalent functions. *Khayyam J. Math.* **2** (1), 1-5.
- [3] ALDWEBY H. & DARUS M. (2013). A subclass of harmonic associated with q-analogue of Dziok-Strivastava operator. *ISRN Mathematical Analysis 2013*, Article ID 382312, 6 pages.
- [4] AMOL B. P. & UDAY H. N. (2018). Estimate on coefficients of certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with Hohlov operator. *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*. **2**, 487-497.
- [5] AWOLERE I. T. & OLADIPO A. T. (2019). Coefficients of bi-univalent functions involving psedo-starlikeness associated with Chebyshev polynomials. *Khayyam J. Math.* **5** (1), 140-149.
- [6] AWOLERE I. T. & IBRAHIM-TIAMYU S. (2017). new classes of Bi-univalent pseudo Star-like function using Alhindi-Darus generalized hypergeometric function. *Journal of Nig. Of Mathematical Physics*. **40**, 65-72.
- [7] AWOLERE I. T. (2020). Hankel determinant for bi-Bazelevic function involving error and Sigmoid function defined by derivative calculus via Chebyshev polynomials. *Journal of Fractional Calculus and Applications*. **11** (2), PP-208-217.
- [8] AWOLERE I. T. & OLADIPO A. T. (2019), Determinant for bi-univalent of pseudo starlikeness for certain class of analytic univalent functions. *Libertas Mathematica (new series)*. **39** (2), 27-43.
- [9] AYDOGAN M., KAHRAMANER Y. & POLATOGLU Y. (2013). Close-to-convex function defined by fractional operator. *Appl. Sci.* **2**, 2769-2775.
- [10] BENJAMIN S. (2004). The Chebyshev-polynomials: Patterns and Deviation. *Mathematics Teachers*. **98** (1).
- [11] BULUT S. & MAGESH M. (2016). On the sharp bounds for a comprehensive class of analytic and univalent functions by means of Chebyshev polynomials. *Khayyam J. Math.* **2** (2), 194-200.
- [12] BRANNAN D. A., CLUNIE J. & KIRWAN W. E. (1970). Coefficient estimates for a class of starlike functions. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*. **22**, 476-485.
- [13] BRANNAN D. A. & TAHA T. S. (1986). On some classes of bi-univalent functions, *Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math.* **31** (2), 70-77.
- [14] BRAHIM K. I. & SIDOMON Y. (2013). On some symmetric q-special functions. *Le Mat.* **68**, 107-122.

- [15] FADIPE-JOSEPH O. A., OLADIPO A. T. & EZEAFULUKWE E. (2013). Modified Sigmoid function in Univalent functions theory. *International Journal of Mathematics Science and Engineering Applications*. **7** (7), 313-317.
- [16] FERAS Y., FRAZIN B. A. & TARIQ A. (2018). Fekete-Szegő inequality for analytic and bi-univalent functions subordinate to Chebyshev polynomials. *Arxiv;1801.09531v1* [Math CV].
- [17] GASPER G. & RAHMAN M. (1990). *Basic Hypergeometric series*. Cambridge Uni. Press, Cambridge, MA.
- [18] GBOLAGADE A. M., OLATUNJI S. O. & ANAKE T. (2014). Coefficient bounds for certain classes of analytic and univalent functions as related to Sigmoid function. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*. **7** (1), 41-51.
- [19] JACKSON F. H. (1908). On q-functions and a certain difference operator. *Trans. Royal Soc. Edinburgh*. **46**, 253-281.
- [20] LEWIN M. (1967). On the coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions. *Proceeding of the American Mathematical Society*. **18**, pp63-68.
- [21] NETANYAHU E. (1969). The minimal distance of the Image boundary from origin and second coefficient of a univalent function. *Arch Ration Mech., Anal*. **32**, 100-112.
- [22] MUHAMMED A. & DARUS M. ((2013). A generalized operator involving the q-hypergeometric function. *Math. Vesnik*. **65**, 454-465.
- [23] MURUGURUSUNDARYMOORTHY G. & JANANI T. (2015). Bi-starlike function of complex order associated with hypergeometric functions. *Miskolc amsth. Notes*. **16**, (1), .
- [24] MURUGURUSUNDARYMOORTHY G. (2015). Subclasses of bi-univalent function of complex order based on subordination conditions involving Wright hypergeometric functions. *J. Math.Fund.Sci*. **47** (1), 60-75.
- [25] OLADIPO A. T. (2015). New subclasses of bi-univalent Bazilevic functions of type alpha involving Salagean derivative operator. *Acta Universitatis Apulensis*. **43** 33-43.
- [26] OLATUNJI S. O., GBOLAGADE A. M., ANAKE T. & FADIPE-JOSEPH O. A. (2013). Sigmoid function in the space of univalent function of Bazilevic type. *Scientia Magna*. **97** (3), 43-51.
- [27] OZKAN UCAN H. E. (2016). Coefficient inequalities for q-starlike functions. *Appl. Math. Comp*. **276**, 122-126.
- [28] POLATOGLU Y. (2016). Growth and distortion theorems for generalized q-starlike functions. *Adv. Math. Sci. J*. **5**, 7-12.
- [29] PUROHIT S. D. & RAINA R. K. (2013). Fractional q-calculus and certain subclass of univalent analytic functions. *Mathematical*. **55**, 62-74.
- [30] RIVLIN T. J. (1974). *The Chebyshev polynomials, Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Wiley-Interscience (John Wiley and Sons), New Yorks-London-Sydney, , 56-123.
- [31] SHUHAI L., LINA M. & HUO M. (2015). Coefficient estimates for  $\lambda$ -Bazilevic functions of bi-univalent functions. *General Math. Notes*. **29** (1), 6-21.
- [32] STRIVASTAVA H. M., MISHIRA A. K. & GOCHHAYAT P. (2010). Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Applied Mathematics Letters*. **23**, (10) .
- [33] VIJAYA K., KASTHURI M. & MURUGURUSUNDARYMOORTHY G. (2014). Coefficient bounds for the subclasses of bi-univalent functions defined by the Salagean derivative operator. *Bulletin de la association Matimatica Venezolana*.