



Modified Variational Homotopy Perturbation Method for Nonlinear Volterra Integro-differential Equations

IGNATIUS N. NJOSEH^{1*} , EBIMENE J. MAMADU²

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we apply the Modified Variational Homotopy Method (MVHPM) for the numerical solution of nonlinear Volterra integro-differential equations. The method is an elegant mixture of Variational Iteration and Homotopy Perturbation Methods. The modification is effected at the approximation stage. This modification is the addition of the coefficients of the least and highest powers of the homotopy parameter, p , instead of the addition of all the coefficients. The method is applied directly without discretization, linearization or perturbation. Two numerical examples are considered to demonstrate the effectiveness and performance accuracy of the method. The resulting numerical evidences show the method is highly reliable, effective and accurate as compared to existing methods the Variational Homotopy Method (VHPM) and the Variational Iteration Method (VIM), available in the literature.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we considered the Volterra nonlinear integro-differential equations of the form

$$(1) \quad y_n^{(m)}(x) = f(x) + \int_0^s f_n(t, y_1(t), \dots, y_1^{(m)}(t), \dots, y_n(t), \dots, y_n^{(m)}(t)) dt$$

Received: 27/02/2016, Accepted: 12/06/2016, Revised: 22/06/2016.

2015 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.. * Corresponding author.

Key words and phrases. Volterra integro-differential equation, Volterra integro-differential equation, Variational Iteration Method, Homotopy Perturbation Method, Boundary Conditions

¹Department of Mathematics, Delta State University, Abraka, Nigeria.

²Department of Mathematics, University of Ilorin, P.M.B 1515, Ilorin, Nigeria.;

Emails: njoseh@delsu.edu.ng¹, mamaduebimene@hotmail.com²

where m is the order of derivatives and f_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are given, y_i are the solutions to be determined in the prescribed auxiliary boundary conditions.

This type of problem arises in the mathematical modelling of nanohydraulics, drop with processes, glass-forming processes, etc. (see [1-3]). Over the years, several numerical techniques have been developed to tackle the problem of nonlinear integro-differential equations. The use of He's polynomials in the solution of fifth-order boundary value problems in variational iteration approach was studied by Aslam et al. [4]. Mamadu and Njoseh [5] explored the solution of linear and nonlinear Fredholm integro-differential equations using certain orthogonal polynomials as trial functions via orthogonal collocation approach. Also, Mamadu and Njoseh [6] studied the convergence analysis of the nonlinear first order Volterra integro-differential equations using Variational Integration Method (VIM). He [7] proposed the Homotopy Perturbation Method (HPM) and applied it to bifurcation of linear problems, limit cycle, boundary value problems, nonlinear oscillation, etc. Inspired by He's work, Othman et al. [8] employed the homotopy perturbation method for the numerical solution of 12th order boundary value problems.

The variational iteration method has been explored by several researchers because of its applicability to wide range of nonlinear problems with approximates that converges rapidly and accurately, see [3]. He in [2] and [9] explored the relationship between the variational iteration and homotopy perturbation method. The homotopy perturbation method in real sense is the merging of the component parts - the standard homotopy and the perturbation. Thus, the merging of variational iteration and homotopy perturbation method results to variational homotopy perturbation method (VHPM) and has been applied to linear and nonlinear ordinary or partial differential equations, see [2],[9].

Motivated by the explosive research in this area, we proposed a modified version of the variational homotopy perturbation method for the solution of integro-differential equations. In this method, the correction functional is constructed from which the Lagrange multiplier is calculated, see [3]. He's polynomials are introduced and comparison of like powers of the homotopy parameter, p , gives the solution of various order. Finally, the final approximation solution is the addition of the coefficients of the least and highest powers of the homotopy parameter, p . This method requires no discretization, linearization or perturbation. The numerical evidences show the method is highly reliable and effective as compared with existing methods- the variational iteration method, available in the literature. Section 2 gives a brief review of variation iteration method. Section 3 presents the variational iteration treatment of the modified homotopy perturbation method. Section 4 presents some numerical applications. Finally, the conclusion is presented in section 5.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Variational Iteration Method

To illustrate the basic ideas of the method, we consider the nonlinear differential equation of the form:

$$(2) \quad Ly(x) + Ny(x) = f(x)$$

with prescribed auxiliary conditions, where y is an unknown function, L is a linear operator, N a non linear term and f is an non-homogeneous forcing term

Using the VIM [3, 5-6], we can construct correction functional for equation (2) as:

$$(3) \quad y_{k+1}(x) = y_k(x) + \int_0^x \lambda [Ly_k(\xi) + N\tilde{y}_k(x, \xi) - f(\xi)] d\xi, k \geq 0$$

where λ is a general Lagrange multiplier, $\tilde{y}_k = 0$, i.e., $\tilde{y}_k$ is a restricted variable. Let the general Volterra integro-differential equations be given as:

$$(4) \quad y_n^{(m)}(x) = f(x) + \int_0^s f_n(t, y_1(t), \dots, y_1^{(m)}(t), \dots, y_n(t), \dots, y_n^{(m)}(t)) dt,$$

where m is the order of derivatives and f_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are given, y_i are the solutions to be determined.

Let the correction functional be given as

$$(5) \quad y_{i,k+1}(x) = y_{i,k}(x) + \int_0^x \lambda_i(s) \left[y_{i,k}^{(m)}(s) - f(x) - \int_0^s f_i(t, y_1(t), \dots, y_1^{(m)}(t), \dots, y_n(t), \dots, y_n^{(m)}(t)) dt \right] ds$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. A generalized value of Lagrange multiplier for VIM was proposed by [3] as

$$\lambda_m(s) = \frac{(-1)^m}{(m-1)!} (s-x)^{(m-1)}.$$

Thus, equation (5) becomes

$$(6) \quad y_{i,k+1}(x) = y_{i,k}(x) + \int_0^x \frac{(-1)^m}{(m-1)!} (s-x)^{(m-1)} \left[(y_{i,k}^{(m)})(s) - f(x) - \int_0^s f_i(t, y_1(t), \dots, y_1^{(m)}(t), \dots, y_n(t), \dots, y_n^{(m)}(t)) dt \right] ds$$

Equation (6) is the iteration formula for equation (4).

3. MODIFIED VARIATIONAL HOMOTOPY PERTURBATION METHOD (MVHPM)

The Modified Homotopy Perturbation Method (MHPM) takes the usual Mathematical formulation of Homotopy Perturbation Method (HPM) with little modification in the solution format. To illustrate the basic ideas of the MVHPM, we will consider equation (2) as our general equation with usual definition of terms.

We defined convex homotopy $H(y, p)$ by

$$(7) \quad H(r, p) = (1-p) * [L(r) - L(y_0)] + p[L(y) + N(y) - f(x)] = 0$$

where $p \in [0, 1]$ is an embedding parameter. The MHPM involves expanding the Homotopy Parameter p to obtain

$$(8) \quad y = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^i r_i$$

By comparing the like powers of p gives solutions of various order. Thus the MVHPM present the best approximation as the addition of the coefficients of the least and highest power of p only, that is,

$$(9) \quad y = r_{p^{(0)}} + r_{p^{(n)}}$$

where $r_{p^{(0)}}$ and $r_{p^{(n)}}$ is the coefficients of the least and highest occurring powers of p .

The convergence analysis of MVHPM can be treated as that of HPM, see [2] for more details.

Following from section (2) we have the correction functional as

$$y_{i,k+1}(x) = y_{i,k}(x) + \int_0^x \frac{(-1)^m}{(m-1)!} (s-x)^{(m-1)} \left[(y_{i,k}^{(m)})(s) - f(x) - \int_0^s f(t, y_1(t), \dots, y_1^{(m)}(t), \dots, y_n(t), \dots, y_n^{(m)}(t)) dt \right] ds,$$

Applying the variational iteration scheme, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^i r_i = y_0(x) + p \int_0^x \frac{(-1)^m}{(m-1)!} (s-x)^{(m-1)} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^i \left((y_{i,k}^{(m)})(s) - f(x) - \int_0^s f(t, y_1(t), \dots, y_1^{(m)}(t), \dots, y_n(t), \dots, y_n^{(m)}(t)) dt \right) \right] ds$$

Such that the approximate solution of MVHPM is given as

$$y = r_{p^{(0)}} + r_{p^{(n)}}$$

where $r_{p^{(0)}}$ and $r_{p^{(n)}}$ are the coefficients of the least and highest occurring powers of p respectively.

4. NUMERICAL APPLICATIONS

Here, two nonlinear integro-differential equations are considered as examples to access the advantages and accuracy of MVHPM. For the sake of comparison, we take the same examples as used in [3] and [6].

Example 4.1: Consider the nonlinear integro-differential equation [6]

$$(10) \quad u'(x) = -1 + \int_0^x u^2(t) dt, 0 \leq x \leq 1, u(0) = 0.$$

Let our initial approximation be $u_0(x) = -x$ and then the correction functional for (9) is given as

$$u_{n+1}(x) = u_n(x) - \int_0^x \left[\frac{du_n}{ds} + 1 - \int_0^s (u_n(t))^2 dt \right] ds.$$

Applying the VHPM, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^i r_i = -x - p \int_0^x \left[\left(\frac{du_0}{ds} + p \frac{du_1}{ds} \right) + 1 - \int_0^s (u_0(t) + p u_1(t))^2 dt \right] ds.$$

Comparing the like powers of p , we have the following:

$$p^{(0)} : u_0 = -x$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p^{(1)} : u_1 &= -\frac{1}{12}x^4 + x \\
p^{(2)} : u_2 &= x - \frac{1}{4}x^4 + \frac{1}{252}x^7 \\
p^{(3)} : u_3 &= -\frac{1}{12960}x^{10} - \frac{1}{12}x^4 + \frac{1}{252}x^7.
\end{aligned}$$

Our approximate solution by the MVHPM is given as

$$u(x) = u_0 + u_3 = -x - \frac{1}{12960}x^{10} - \frac{1}{12}x^4 + \frac{1}{252}x^7.$$

Results are shown in **Table 1** for $n = 1$. The maximum absolute error obtained is in order of 10^{-6} for $n = 1$. The results obtained are compared with that of VHPM (first order approximation) and VIM (Second order approximation in [6]). It is obvious that the approximate solution will converge absolutely to the exact solution as n increases.

Example 4.2: Consider the following nonlinear system of two integro-differential equation [3]

$$\begin{aligned}
(11) \quad u'(x) &= 1 - \frac{1}{2}v'^2(x) + \int_0^x ((x-t)v(t) + v(t)u(t))dt, \\
v'(x) &= 2x + \int_0^x ((x-t)u(t) - v^2(t) + u^2(t))dt, \\
u(0) &= 0, \quad v(0) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

with the exact solution

$$u(x) = \sinh(x), \quad v(x) = \cosh(x).$$

Let our initial approximation be $u_0(x) = 0$ and $v_0(x) = 1$.

The correction functional for (11) is given as

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{n+1}(x) &= u_n(x) - \int_0^x \left[u'_n(s) - 1 + \frac{1}{2}v_n'^2(s) - \int_0^s ((s-t)v_n(t) + u_n(t)v_n(t))dt \right] ds, \\
v_{n+1}(x) &= v_n(x) - \int_0^x \left[v'_n(s) - 2s - \int_0^s ((s-t)u_n(t) - v_n^2(t) + u_n^2(t))dt \right] ds
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the VHPM, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^i r_i &= -x - p \int_0^x \left[\left(\frac{du_0}{ds} + p \frac{du_1}{ds} \right) - 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dv_0}{ds} + p \frac{dv_1}{ds} \right)^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_0^s (s-t)(v_0(t) + pv_1(t)) + (u_0(t) + pu_1(t))(v_0(t) + pv_1(t))dt \right] ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the like powers of p , we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
p^{(1)} : u_1 &= \frac{1}{6}x^3 + x \\
p^{(2)} : u_2 &= \frac{1}{60}x^5 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 - x \\
p^{(3)} : u_3 &= \frac{1}{504}x^7 + \frac{1}{30}x^5.
\end{aligned}$$

Our approximate solution by the MVHPM is given as

$$u(x) = u_1 + u_3 = \frac{1}{504}x^7 + \frac{1}{30}x^5 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 - x.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} p^{(0)} : v_0 &= 1 \\ p^{(1)} : v_1 &= \frac{1}{2}x^2 \\ p^{(2)} : v_2 &= \frac{1}{720}x^6 - \frac{1}{24}x^4 - \frac{3}{2}x^2 \\ p^{(3)} : v_3 &= \frac{1}{2016}x^8 + \frac{1}{360}x^6 + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{24}x^4. \end{aligned}$$

Our approximate solution by the MVHPM is given as

$$v(x) = v_0 + v_3 = \frac{1}{2016}x^8 + \frac{1}{360}x^6 + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{24}x^4 + 1.$$

Results are shown in **Tables 2** and **3** for $n = 1$. The maximum absolute errors obtained is in order of 10^{-11} and 10^{-9} for u and v respectively. The results obtained are compared with results obtained using VHPM (first order approximation) and VIM (Second order approximation in [3]). We see again that the MVHPM is very effective and highly promising.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have succeeded in implementing MVHPM on nonlinear integro-differential equations. The initial approximation for example 4.1 was selected arbitrary in form of the exact solution. In example 4.2, the initial approximation was arbitrary not in form of the exact solution with unknown coefficients. The method is applied in direct way without discretization, linearization or perturbation. The numerical evidence clearly reflects the effectiveness and performance of MVHPM. It has more accuracy than the VHPM and variational iteration method as obviously seen in **Tables 1, 2** and **3**. In addition, first iterate approximation of MVHPM correspond to second iterate approximate of VIM. This obviously shows MVHPM has been more effective and efficient.

Table 1: Results obtained using MVHPM compared with results obtained using VHPM and VIM in [6]

X	Exact Solution	First-order approximation, MVHPM	First order approximation VHPM	Second-order approximation, VIM	Error MVHPM	Error VHPM	Error VIM
0.0000	0.0000000000	0.0000000000	0.0000000000	0.0000000000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
0.0938	-0.0938000000	-0.0938064508	-0.0938387027	-0.0937935492	6.4508E-06	3.8703E-05	6.4508E-06
0.2188	-0.2188000000	-0.2189908936	-0.2199445996	-0.2186091064	1.9089E-04	1.1446E-03	1.9089E-04
0.3125	-0.3125000000	-0.3132935744	-0.3172522097	-0.3117064256	7.9357E-04	4.7522E-03	7.9357E-04
0.4062	-0.4062000000	-0.4084614758	-0.4197109676	-0.4039385242	2.2615E-03	1.3511E-02	2.2615E-03
0.5000	-0.5000000000	-0.5051774067	-0.5308167257	-0.4948225933	5.1774E-03	3.0817E-02	5.1774E-03
0.6250	-0.6250000000	-0.6375685304	-0.6992313573	-0.6124314696	1.2569E-02	7.4231E-02	1.2569E-02
0.7188	-0.7188000000	-0.7406553750	-0.8467962584	-0.6969446250	2.1855E-02	1.2800E-01	2.1855E-02
0.8125	-0.8125000000	-0.8478992591	-1.0175134130	-0.7771007409	3.5399E-02	2.0501E-01	3.5399E-02
0.9062	-0.9062000000	-0.9604346130	-1.2157913990	-0.8519653870	5.4235E-02	3.0959E-01	5.4235E-02
1.0000	-1.0000000000	-1.0794422400	-1.4452160490	-0.9205577601	7.9442E-02	4.4522E-01	7.9442E-02

Table 2: Results obtained using MVHPM compared with results obtained using VHPM and VIM in [3]

X	Exact	First order approximation MVHPM	First order approximation VHPM	Second order approximation VIM	Error MVHPM	Error VHPM	Error VIM
0.01	0.0100001667	0.0100001667	0.1000033333	0.0100001667	0.0000E+00	1.6667E-07	0.0000E+00
0.02	0.0200013334	0.0200013334	0.0200026668	0.0200013335	8.0000E-11	1.3334E-06	1.1000E-10
0.03	0.0300045002	0.0300045008	0.3000900080	0.0300045010	6.1000E-10	4.5006E-06	8.1000E-10
0.04	0.0400106675	0.0400106701	0.0400213368	0.0400106709	2.5600E-09	1.0669E-05	3.4100E-09
0.05	0.0500208359	0.0500208438	0.0500416771	0.0500208464	7.8100E-09	2.0841E-05	1.0420E-08
0.06	0.0600360065	0.0600360259	0.0600720257	0.0600360324	1.9450E-08	3.6019E-05	2.5930E-08
0.07	0.0700571807	0.0700572227	0.0701143894	0.0700572367	4.2040E-08	5.7209E-05	5.6040E-08
0.08	0.0800853606	0.0800854426	0.0801707759	0.0800854699	8.1960E-08	8.5415E-05	1.0927E-07
0.09	0.0901215492	0.0901216969	0.0902431969	0.0901217461	1.4770E-07	1.2165E-04	1.9691E-07
0.10	0.1001667500	0.1001670002	0.1003336669	0.1001670835	2.5020E-07	1.6692E-04	3.3350E-07

Table 3: Results obtained using MVHPM compared with results obtained using VHPM and VIM in [3].

X	Exact	First order approximation MVHPM	First order approximation VHPM	Second order approximation VIM	Error MVHPM	Error VHPM	Error VIM
0.01	1.0000500000	1.0000500000	0.9999500000	1.0000500000	0.0000E+00	1.0000E-04	0.0000E+00
0.02	1.0002000070	1.0002000070	0.9998000000	1.0002000070	0.0000E+00	4.0001E-04	0.0000E+00
0.03	1.0004500340	1.0004500340	0.9995500000	1.0004500340	0.0000E+00	9.0003E-04	0.0000E+00
0.04	1.0008001070	1.0008001070	0.9992000000	1.0008001070	0.0000E+00	1.6001E-03	0.0000E+00
0.05	1.0012502600	1.0012502600	0.9987500001	1.0012502600	0.0000E+00	2.5003E-03	0.0000E+00
0.06	1.0018005400	1.0018005400	0.9982000002	1.0018005400	0.0000E+00	3.6005E-03	0.0000E+00
0.07	1.0024510010	1.0024510010	0.9975500005	1.0024510010	0.0000E+00	4.9010E-03	0.0000E+00
0.08	1.0032017070	1.0032017070	0.9968000011	1.0032017080	0.0000E+00	6.4017E-03	1.0000E-09
0.09	1.0040527340	1.0040527350	0.9959500022	1.0040527360	1.0000E-09	8.1027E-03	2.0000E-09
0.10	1.0050041680	1.0050041690	0.9950000042	1.0050041710	1.0000E-09	1.0004E-02	3.0000E-09

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

REFERENCES

- [1] Wang, SQ, He, JH. Variational Method for Solving Integro-differential Equations. *Phys. Lett. A* 2007; **367**:188-191.
- [2] He, JH. Variational Methods - A kind of Non-linear Analytic Technique: Some Examples. *Int. J. Nonlinear Mech* 1999; **34**:699-708
- [3] Abbasbandy, S, Shivanian, E. Application of the Variational Iteration Method for System of Non-linear Volterra Integro-differential Equations. *Mathematics and Computational Applications* 2009; **14**(2): 147-158.
- [4] Noor, MA, Mohyud-Din, ST. Variational Iteration Method for Fifth Order Boundary Value Problems using He's Polynomials. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* ID 954794, 2008; 12.doi:10.1155/2008/954794.
- [5] Mamadu, EJ, Njoseh, IN. Certain Orthogonal polynomials in Orthogonal Collocation Methods of solving Fredholm Integro-differential Equations (FIDEs). *Transactions of NAMPS* 2016; **2**: 59-64.
- [6] Mamadu, EJ, Njoseh, IN. On the Convergence of Variational Iteration Method for the Numerical of Nonlinear Integro-differential Equations. *Transactions of NAMPS* 2016; **2**: 65-70.
- [7] He, JH. A Coupled Method of a Homotopy Technique and a Perturbation Technique for Non-linear Problems. *Int. J. Non-linear Mech* 2008; **35**(1): 37-45.
- [8] Othman, MIA., Mahdy, AMS, Farouk, RM. Numerical Solution of 12th Order Boundary Value Problems by Homotopy Perturbation Method. *Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, 2010; **1**(1):14 - 27.
- [9] He, JH. Variational Iteration Method for Autonomous Ordinary Differential Systems. *Appl. Math* 2000; **142**(2-3): 115-123.